1 2	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP PAULA M. WEBER #121144 MARGARET M. THOMSON #259698				
3	paula.weber@pillsburylaw.com margaret.thomson@pillsburylaw.com				
4	50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2228				
5	Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200				
6	Attorneys for Defendants				
7	AT&T OPERATIONS, INC.				
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
12	(KATHY AMIRTEYMOUR, on behalf of ) CASE NO. 10CV0744 WQH RBB				
13	herself and all others similarly situated, ) NOTICE OF RELATED CASE				
14	Plaintiffs, )				
15	vs.				
16	AT&T OPERATIONS, INC., a Delaware ) Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, )				
17	inclusive,				
	Defendants.				
18	}				
19	}				
20					
21	Pursuant to The Southern District Court Civil Rule 40.1(e), Counsel for AT&T				
22	Operations, Inc. provides notice that the above-entitled matter may be a Related Action, as				
23	defined by Civil Rule 40.1(f), to the following matters:				
24	Joseph Mahoney and Tonya Pillow v. AT&T Corp. et al., filed on February 13,				
25	2008 in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC385361. On July 3, 2008, Plaintiffs filed				
26	a First Amended Complaint adding AT&T Operations, Inc. as a defendant and adding				
27	allegations that Defendants improperly classified California Project Managers and Sr.				
28	Project Managers working in specified business market channels as exempt from overtime				
	702137803v1 - 1 - CASE NO. 10CV0744 WQH RBB				

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

requirements.

Kid v. AT&T Operations, Inc. was filed December 18, 2008 in Los Angeles

Superior Court, Case No. BC404186. The Plaintiff in Kid alleges that a class of California

Project Managers and Sr. Project Managers were improperly classified as exempt. On

March 5, 2009, Plaintiff amended her complaint to limit the putative class to Project

The *Mahoney* and *Kid* matters were deemed related and have been consolidated for settlement purposes.

Managers and Sr. Project Managers at issue in the Mahoney litigation.

The parties reached an agreement to settle the *Mahoney* and *Kid* matters, which included a settlement sub-class of California Project Managers and Sr. Project Managers who are individuals who (1) had the official job title of either Project Manager or Sr. Project Manager during the relevant time period; (2) managed projects for external customers of AT&T Corp., AT&T Operations, Inc. (formerly known as SBC Operations, Inc.), AT&T Services, Inc., and/or Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California (collectively "AT&T") in the Enterprise Business Services, Business Communications Services, and/or Regional Business Markets market channels; and (3) were employed in California by Pacific Bell Telephone Company and/or AT&T Operations, Inc. That settlement and a notice of settlement was preliminarily approved by the Los Angeles Superior Court on January 7, 2010.

Plaintiff Kathy Amirteymour is <u>not</u> a member of the *Mahoney/Kid* settlement class. She did not, during the relevant time period, work in the Enterprise Business Services, Business Communications Services, or Regional Business Markets market channels. Nor did she manage projects for AT&T's external customers. Thus, these matters may not be related to the action pending in this Court. However, Plaintiff purports to bring her action on behalf of a putative class of current or former AT&T Operations employees who hold or have held the job position of Project Manager or Sr. Project Manager during various stated time periods, and some individuals in this alleged putative class are included in the settlement sub-class preliminarily approved by the Court in *Mahoney* and *Kid*.

## Case 3:10-cv-00744-WQH -RBB Document 5 Filed 04/13/10 Page 3 of 4

1	Assignment of these matters to a single judge is not feasible or appropriate. The				
2	Mahoney and Kid matters are pending in State Court in Los Angeles County. These matters				
3	are nearly resolved, with a class wide settlement preliminarily approved by the court. Thus,				
4	assignment with a single district court judge, even if possible, would not likely effect a				
5	saving of judicial efforts or other economies.				
6	Dated: April 13, 2010.				
7	,	DILLGI			
8			PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP PAULA M. WEBER MARGARET M. THOMSON		
9		50 Fren	nont Street ffice Box 7880		
10	·		ancisco, CA 94120-7880		
11					
12		Ву	s/ Paula M. Weber Paula M. Weber		
13			E-mail: <u>paula.weber@pillsburylaw.com</u> Attorneys for Defendant		
14			AT&T OPERATIONS, INC.		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20			·		
21	·				
22					
23					
24					
25		,			
26					
27					
28					

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

CASE NO. 10CV0744 WQH RBB

## 

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, Robert J. Foley, the undersigned, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within cause. I am employed by Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP in the City of San Francisco, California.
- 2. My business address is 50 Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-2228. My mailing address is 50 Fremont Street, P. O. Box 7880, San Francisco, CA 94120-7880.
- 3. On April 13, 2010, I served a true copy of the attached document(s) titled exactly NOTICE OF RELATED CASE by placing it/them in an addressed sealed envelope and depositing it in the United States mail, first class postage fully prepaid, to the following:

Michael M. Daly, Esq. Daly Law Firm 2550 Fifth Avenue, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92103

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 13th day of April, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

Robert J. Foley